Amdt. dated June 7, 2005

Reply to Office Action of <u>December 7, 2004</u>

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

#### I. Status of the Claims

Claims 1-12 are currently pending in this application. By the present amendment, claims 1-3 and 7 have been amended to clarify and/or correct certain aspects of the claims addressed by the examiner in the Office Action. No new matter has been introduced by any of these amendments.

Applicant notes with appreciation the examiner's indication that the pending claims contain allowable subject matter.

### II. Objections

## A. Specification

The examiner has objected to the specification and required a substitute specification because of the presence of numerous grammatical, syntactical and/or typographical errors.

Accordingly, Applicant is providing herewith a substitute specification in which the typographical, syntactic and/or grammatical errors noted by the examiner and/or ascertained by the undersigned have been corrected. Attached to this paper is a copy of the substitute specification containing markings that show all the changes relative to the original specification.

Serial No. 10/657,258

Amdt. dated June 7, 2005

Reply to Office Action of December 7, 2004

Also attached to this paper is a clean copy of the substitute specification. Withdrawal of the outstanding objection is therefore respectfully requested.

### B. Drawings

The examiner has objected to the drawings because of certain inconsistencies between the drawings and the description thereof in the specification. Applicants have corrected the inconsistencies found in the description and respectfully submit that there are no errors in the drawings themselves. Withdrawal of the outstanding objection is therefore respectfully requested.

#### C. Claims

The examiner has objected to the claims because of certain informalities. In response, Applicant has amended the claims as shown above. Withdrawal of the outstanding objection is therefore respectfully requested.

# III. Rejection of Claims 1-12

In the outstanding Office Action, the examiner has rejected claims 1-12 of the application under 35 U.S.C. 112 as allegedly being indefinite. While not acceding to the examiner's characterization of the language of the original claims, Applicant has amended

Serial No. 10/657,258

Amdt. dated June 7, 2005

Reply to Office Action of December 7, 2004

the claims as shown above. Applicant respectfully submits that each of the points raised

by the examiner in the Office Action has been clarified and/or corrected by the above

amendments. Withdrawal of the outstanding rejection is therefore respectfully requested.

**CONCLUSION** 

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that

the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional

changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is

invited to contact the undersigned attorney, Donald R. McPhail, at the telephone number

listed below. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136

is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of

this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account

16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted, FLESHNER & KIM, LLP

10

Docket No. YHK-0118

Serial No. 10/657,258 Amdt. dated <u>June 7, 2005</u> Reply to Office Action of <u>December 7, 2004</u>

> Donald R. McPhail Registration No. 35,811

P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200 (703) 766-3701 DYK\DRM:mrh Date:

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610